मा हरिभाऊ राठोड पूर्व सांसद इन्होने पुरे देश के साठ करोड लोगोको आरक्षण देणे का फार्मुला PTI न्यूज से बातचीत करते हुयू इंटरव्ह्यूमे बताया, जिसमे संविधान संशोधन के बारेमे चर्चा कि कृपया जरूर पढिये !

Objection in bill: Mathura June 23 (PTI) :  Most backward classes and De-Notified and Nomedic Tribe would be denied the privilege it enjoys in certain states, If the constitution 123rd Amendment bill) is allowed a free passage ,in the same form in Rajya Sabha also, a former M.P. apprehended.

“The proposed legislation of the constitution (123rd Amemndment bill), passed by Lok Sabha and referred to Rajya Sabha, u/s  342/(A) empowers parliament , to include or exclude, only backward class in the concurrent (central) list of constitution” ,Mr Haribhau Rathod former M.P. and present MLC from Maharastra Govt said while talking to PTI here, however, the bill does not empower to include/exclude  the same in the concurrent list of constitution of the states.

Explaining his reservation in detail, he said, in states like Maharastra, Andhra Pradesh, Telengana, Karnataka, Hariyana, Jharkhand, west Bengal, Bihar and Tamilnadu some caste like Ghumantu Samaj, More backward class etc. have not only been included in other backward class, but they are getting separate reservation in jobs and promotions.

If the present bill is allowed to pass in the same form, other backward class would be denied the privilege  , at present  it is  enjoying , in their respective state, he feared.

“Expressing his apprehension, through a letter to chairman of select committee, subordinate legislation”, he said , “There is no doubt ,the bill would empower the parliament for the formation of new commission with constitutional powers, however, it is not visible in the bill if it safeguards and guarantees  equal opportunities in constitutional status, constitutional security, right in education and jobs, for other backward class (for whom bill has been incorporated).”

Rathod has suggested  the committee to replace term ‘Other backward class’, ‘Educationally and socially backward class’, ‘Backward class of citizens’ etc with  term‘ “Other backward class”, only to eliminate any confusion.

Regarding sub-categorisation of OBC reservation,  he quoted Justice Eswarayya, former chairperson of National Commission for backward class, who in his report to central govt, on Feb 15,2015  had requested to take policy dicision on the issue.

However , he said, “ I am sorry to say that neither Govt nor public from these community, nor even media has taken debate, even though the issue is related to neglected most backward class and denotified Neomedic tribes .

Hari bhau Rathod has suggested that OBCs should be sub-categorised into groups :

 [A,B,C,& D], since in upcoming days other communities such as Jat, Gurjar ,Patel, Maratha etc would demand reservation.

He clarified that these communities may be accommodated in aforementioned four groups and reservation may be provided accordingly.

Since  data of casewise census  completed in 2011  ,is available with the Govt,  the reservation categories and percentages may be fixed on the basis of  population , he suggested.

“The  select committee of Rajya Sabha”, he said has been informed ,”The National OBC commission would get constitutional safeguard, however , OBC community will not get the constitutional safeguard until article  46 and 335  are amended as per the suggestion given above.”

When asked to justify prolonged reservation of OBCs, even though they are getting share of 27 percent, he said, “ I apprehend Saharanpur type class war or  Jat reservation type agitation, if without home work reservation policy is changed.

He said though OBCs are being given reservation , virtually since long , however ,the benefit has not reached to them, since hardly six percent have benefitted since no sincere effort were made ,to take feed back.

“In absence of adequate education OBCs  could not derive benefit”, he stated.

He said unless monitoring is done about beneficiaries  and unless sincere efforts are made to uplift OBCs  educationally, at least for another ten years, their plight would not change, even if the existing reservation policy continues.EOM